Faculty Performance Expectations PHILOSOPHY

Professional faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching and service (see section 5.224 and 5.226). Professorial faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching, scholarship and service (see sections 5.224-5.226).

All faculty members should be making progress toward performing at the preferred level in each of the areas applicable to their appointment. The acceptable level describes the minimum performance expected for continued employment. Note: unacceptable performance is defined as below an acceptable level and may require a plan for correction (see 5.370).

The preferred level describes the average or typical performance level for a faculty member making good progress toward final promotion. The exceptional level would characterize and recognize faculty who demonstrated significant achievements, well beyond the preferred level.

All faculty members must have the educational background required and have completed the required years in rank prior to the effective date of promotion or the required years of service prior to the date of awarding of tenure or a three-year extendable appointment (see section 5.223).

In addition, the faculty member's performance portfolio must be reviewed and demonstrate that there are sufficient contributions in each of the areas appropriate to the faculty member's appointment. Faculty must meet or exceed the acceptable performance level in each area applicable to their appointment. The number of areas required to exceed the acceptable level gradually increases (see table below) until all areas must be at the preferred level for final promotion (Senior Instructor 2 or Full Professor). Note: exceptional performance is not expected, nor required for promotion to any rank, however faculty members may elect to replace preferred performance in two areas with acceptable performance in one area and exceptional performance in the other.

Minimum Promotion and Tenure Performance Requirements

	Min Acceptable	Min Preferred	Min Exceptional
SR Instructor 1 (3 year extendable appt.)	1	1	
SR Instructor 2		OR	
Associate	1 2	1	1
Tenure	1 2	2 — OR —	1
Professor	1	3 — OR — 1	1

In reviewing the characteristics at each level, no faculty member will exactly fit the description in any one column. The evaluation goal is to identify the column that best describes an individual faculty member's performance in this area.

Teaching Performance Levels

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Student evaluations	Student evaluations	Student evaluations
 Rate instructor's teaching 	• Rate instructor's teaching	• Rate the instructor's teaching
effectiveness "very good" or higher	effectiveness at or near	effectiveness well into the
(see section 5.260)	"outstanding" (see section 5.260)	"outstanding" category (see section 5.260)
Classroom Instruction	Classroom Instruction	
 Evidence of a commitment to 	• Evidence of a commitment to	Classroom Instruction
improve instruction, such as	improve instruction (see acceptable	• Recognized by colleagues as a
o Professional development activities	column)	highly skilled and
that impacted instruction		knowledgeable instructor
 Work with colleagues that impacted 	Beyond evidence of effective	
instruction	practices (see acceptable column),	Models excellent teaching
	also shares successful and/or	
• Evidence of effective practices, such	innovative practices with colleagues	Demonstrates attention and
as		responsiveness to student needs
o Reflection and self-improvement	Curricular Development	
o Engaging teaching methods	Beyond integrating courses into	Curricular Development (see
o Providing meaningful classroom	departmental programs (see	preferred column)
experiences	acceptable column), also is an	35
	effective partner in curricular and	Mentoring
Curricular Development	program design and delivery	• Significant student mentoring
• Integrates courses into departmental	36	activities (either in quantity or
programs, such as	Mentoring	quality of work with students)
o Effectively prepares students for	• Actively involved in some student	Monton officers to desire
subsequent courses	mentoring activities	Mentors colleagues to develop their instructional abilities
 Effectively builds on students prior learning 	Departmental Needs (see acceptable	(assessment, curricular design,
 Effectively addresses dept'l learning	column)	effective delivery, etc.)
outcomes	Column)	cricenve delivery, etc.)
outcomes		Departmental Needs (see
Departmental Needs		acceptable column)
• Cooperates with program faculty in		acceptable column)
meeting departmental loading needs		
meeting departmental loading needs	1	

[Department Expectations take the form of added bullets (solid circles) under any or all of the headings above as well as added bullets (open circles) under any or all of the existing bullets above.]

Service Performance Levels

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Departmental Service	Departmental Service (see	Departmental Service (see
 Active participant in dept'l work: Advising students in dept'l 	acceptable column)	acceptable column)
programs; writing letters of recommendation; assisting at preview days, registration and orientation activities; and other advising related activities Effective contributor on his/her fair share of dept'l committees Effectively carrying out his/her fair share of individual dept'l tasks	 University/Professional Service University service on active committees (at least one committee every year under review, more if committee(s) is not very active). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee. Effective partner in accomplishing assignments 	University/Professional Service (see preferred column) Leadership Recognized as a faculty leader on campus Served in multiple leadership roles Significant accomplishments at the institutional level as a
University/Professional Service	Leadership	faculty leader (either multiple committees or taskforces, as
Some activity beyond department or program (e.g. serve on active University committee most years under review). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee.	Some documentable accomplishment in a leadership role at the departmental, institutional or professional level during period under review (department chair, program coordinator, faculty program director, chair active committee, lead taskforce, significant individual task, etc.)	a program director, as a department chair, or other significant leadership responsibilities resulting in multiple documentable achievements that furthered the institutional mission)

[Department Expectations take the form of added bullets (solid circles) under any or all of the headings above as well as added bullets (open circles) under any or all of the existing bullets above.]

Scholarship Performance Levels Promotion to Associate Professor in Philosophy

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
 Originality Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member 	Originality Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member	Originality • Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member
 Meaningfulness Publication of one article in journal or book Two external presentations such as conference papers, lectures, etc. (additional publications may be substituted) Other activity for consideration: Award of external grants Editorial Work Reviews and review essays 	 Meaningfulness Publication of two articles in journals or books Three presentations (additional publications may be substituted) Other activity for consideration: Award of external grants Editorial work Reviews and review essays 	 Meaningfulness Publication of a book or Publication of three or more articles Four or more presentations (additional publications may be substituted) Other activity for consideration: National/global recognition as an expert in one's field Award of external grants Editorial Work Reviews and review essays
Review Restrictive external peer review for publications	Review Restrictive external peer review for publications	Review Recognized academic publisher for book Restrictive external peer review for publications
Dissemination ■ Regional, national, and/or international	Dissemination National and/or international	Dissemination National and/or international

Scholarship Performance Levels Promotion to Full Professor in Philosophy

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
 Originality Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member 	 Originality Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member 	Originality • Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member
 Meaningfulness Publication of one or two articles in journals or books Three/Four external presentations such as conference papers, lectures, etc. (additional publications may be substituted) Other activity for consideration: Award of external grants Editorial work Reviews and review essays 	 Meaningfulness Publication of two/three articles Four/Five presentations (additional publications may be substituted) Other activity for consideration: Award of external grants Editorial work Reviews and review essays 	 Meaningfulness Publication of a book or Publication of four or more articles or Five or more presentations (additional publications may be substituted) Other activity for consideration: National/global recognition as an expert in one's field Award of external grants Editorial work Reviews and review essays
Review Restrictive external peer review for publications Dissemination Regional, national, and/or international	Review Restrictive external peer review for publications Dissemination National and/or international	Review Restrictive external peer review for publications Dissemination National and/or international