# Faculty Performance Expectations ECONOMICS

Professional faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching and service (see section 5.224 and 5.226). Professorial faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching, scholarship and service (see sections 5.224-5.226).

All faculty members should be making progress toward performing at the preferred level in each of the areas applicable to their appointment. The acceptable level describes the minimum performance expected for continued employment. Note: unacceptable performance is defined as below an acceptable level and may require a plan for correction (see 5.370).

The preferred level describes the average or typical performance level for a faculty member making good progress toward final promotion. The exceptional level would characterize and recognize faculty who demonstrated significant achievements, well beyond the preferred level.

All faculty members must have the educational background required and have completed the required years in rank prior to the effective date of promotion or the required years of service prior to the date of awarding of tenure or a three-year extendable appointment (see section 5.223).

In addition, the faculty member's performance portfolio must be reviewed and demonstrate that there are sufficient contributions in each of the areas appropriate to the faculty member's appointment. Faculty must meet or exceed the acceptable performance level in each area applicable to their appointment. The number of areas required to exceed the acceptable level gradually increases (see table below) until all areas must be at the preferred level for final promotion (Senior Instructor 2 or Full Professor). Note: exceptional performance is not expected, nor required for promotion to any rank, however faculty members may elect to replace preferred performance in two areas with acceptable performance in one area and exceptional performance in the other.

### **Minimum Promotion and Tenure Performance Requirements**

	Min Acceptable	Min Preferred	Min Exceptional
SR Instructor 1 (3 year extendable appt.)	1	1	
SR Instructor 2		OR	
Associate	1 2	1	1
Associate	1	2	
Tenure	2	— OR —	1
Professor		3 — OR —	
TTOICSSOI	1	1	1

In reviewing the characteristics at each level, no faculty member will exactly fit the description in any one column. The evaluation goal is to identify the column that best describes an individual faculty member's performance in this area.

## **Teaching Performance Levels**

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Student evaluations	Student evaluations	Student evaluations
• Rate instructor's teaching	• Rate instructor's teaching	• Rate the instructor's teaching
effectiveness "very good" or higher	effectiveness at or near	effectiveness well into the
(see section 5.260)	"outstanding" (see section 5.260)	"outstanding" category (see section 5.260)
Classroom Instruction	Classroom Instruction	
<ul> <li>Evidence of a commitment to</li> </ul>	• Evidence of a commitment to	Classroom Instruction
improve instruction, such as	improve instruction (see acceptable	<ul> <li>Recognized by colleagues as a</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Professional development activities</li> </ul>	column)	highly skilled and
that impacted instruction		knowledgeable instructor
<ul> <li>Work with colleagues that impacted</li> </ul>	Beyond evidence of effective	
instruction	practices (see acceptable column),	<ul> <li>Models excellent teaching</li> </ul>
	also shares successful and/or	
• Evidence of effective practices, such	innovative practices with colleagues	<ul> <li>Demonstrates attention and</li> </ul>
as		responsiveness to student needs
o Reflection and self-improvement	Curricular Development	
o Engaging teaching methods	Beyond integrating courses into	Curricular Development (see
o Providing meaningful classroom	departmental programs (see	preferred column)
experiences	acceptable column), also is an	
Correiantes Develorement	effective partner in curricular and	Mentoring
Curricular Development	program design and delivery	• Significant student mentoring
• Integrates courses into departmental	Mantanina	activities (either in quantity or
programs, such as	Mentoring	quality of work with students)
<ul> <li>Effectively prepares students for subsequent courses</li> </ul>	• Actively involved in some student	- Mantana and 11 and 12
<ul> <li>Effectively builds on students prior</li> </ul>	mentoring activities	Mentors colleagues to develop
learning	Departmental Needs (see	their instructional abilities
• Effectively addresses dept'l learning	acceptable column)	(assessment, curricular design, effective delivery, etc.)
outcomes	acceptable column)	checuve denvery, etc.)
		<b>Departmental Needs</b> (see
Departmental Needs		acceptable column)
• Cooperates with program faculty in		
meeting departmental loading needs		
0 1 1	<u>I</u>	1

[Department Expectations take the form of added bullets (solid circles) under any or all of the headings above as well as added bullets (open circles) under any or all of the existing bullets above.]

#### **Service Performance Levels**

#### Acceptable **Preferred Exceptional Departmental Service** (see **Departmental Service Departmental Service** (see Active participant in dept'l work: acceptable column) acceptable column) Advising students in dept'l programs; writing letters of **University/Professional Service** University/Professional Service recommendation; assisting at (see preferred column) University service on active preview days, registration committees (at least one and orientation activities; and committee every year under Leadership other advising related review, more if committee(s) is Recognized as a faculty activities not very active). Active service leader on campus Effective contributor on in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a his/her fair share of dept'l Served in multiple leadership committees University committee. roles Effectively carrying out his/her fair share of Effective partner in Significant accomplishments individual dept'l tasks accomplishing assignments at the institutional level as a faculty leader (either multiple University/Professional Service Leadership committees or taskforces, as Some activity beyond department Some documentable a program director, as a or program (e.g. serve on active accomplishment in a leadership department chair, or other University committee most years role at the departmental, significant leadership under review). Active service in institutional or professional responsibilities resulting in professional organization or level during period under multiple documentable capacity may substitute for a review (department chair, achievements that furthered University committee. program coordinator, faculty the institutional mission) program director, chair active committee, lead taskforce, significant individual task, etc.)

[Department Expectations take the form of added bullets (solid circles) under any or all of the headings above as well as added bullets (open circles) under any or all of the existing bullets above.]

# **Scholarship Performance Levels**

#### Typical ways our faculty meet these criteria:

- Faculty are actively involved in basic or applied research, at least some of which actively engages SOU students in the research process.
- Scholarship involves formally sharing research results with colleagues beyond the campus and most frequently takes the form of publications in the faculty member's field and presentations at regionallevel conferences or beyond.
- Sharing of research results and expertise also takes the form of substantial reports needed by schools, state or federal agencies, higher education institutions, or other reputable, responsible bodies in the public or private sector.
- Publications include books, articles in regional, national, and/or internationally recognized and refereed journals, or contributions to edited volumes.
- Faculty may pursue external grant support for personal, departmental, or institutional professional goals. To encourage faculty to seek large, competitive grants, submission of such a grant application will be considered even if the grant is not ultimately funded.
- There must be a continuing pattern of sustained activity throughout the period under review.