

Faculty Performance Expectations

Professional faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching and service (see section 5.224 and 5.226). Professorial faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching, scholarship and service (see sections 5.224-5.226).

All faculty members should be making progress toward performing at the preferred level in each of the areas applicable to their appointment. The acceptable level describes the minimum performance expected for continued employment. Note: unacceptable performance is defined as below an acceptable level and may require a plan for correction (see 5.370).

The preferred level describes the average or typical performance level for a faculty member making good progress toward final promotion. The exceptional level would characterize and recognize faculty who demonstrated significant achievements, well beyond the preferred level.

All faculty members must have the educational background required and have completed the required years in rank prior to the effective date of promotion or the required years of service prior to the date of awarding of tenure or a three-year extendable appointment (see section 5.223).

In addition, the faculty member's performance portfolio must be reviewed and demonstrate that there are sufficient contributions in each of the areas appropriate to the faculty member's appointment. Faculty must meet or exceed the acceptable performance level in each area applicable to their appointment. The number of areas required to exceed the acceptable level gradually increases (see table below) until all areas must be at the preferred level for final promotion (Senior Instructor 2 or Full Professor). Note: exceptional performance is not expected, nor required for promotion to any rank, however faculty members may elect to replace preferred performance in two areas with acceptable performance in one area and exceptional performance in the other.

Minimum Promotion and Tenure Performance Requirements

	Min Acceptable	Min Preferred	Min Exceptional
SR Instructor 1 (3 year extendable appt.)	1	1	
SR Instructor 2		2 — OR —	
Associate	1 2	1	1
Tenure	1 2	2 — OR —	
Professor	2 1	3 — OR — 1	1

In reviewing the characteristics at each level, no faculty member will exactly fit the description in any one column. The evaluation goal is to identify the column that best describes an individual faculty member's performance in this area.

Teaching Performance Levels

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
<p>Student evaluations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rate instructor’s teaching effectiveness “very good” or higher (see section 5.260) <p>Classroom Instruction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of a commitment to improve instruction, such as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Professional development activities that impacted instruction Work with colleagues that impacted instruction Evidence of effective practices, such as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reflection and self-improvement Engaging teaching methods and lab activities Providing meaningful classroom experiences <p>Curricular Development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continually update courses to incorporate current applications and technological standards Integrates courses into departmental programs, such as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectively prepares students for subsequent courses Effectively builds on students prior learning Effectively addresses dept’l learning outcomes <p>Departmental Needs</p>	<p>Student evaluations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rate instructor’s teaching effectiveness at or near “outstanding” (see section 5.260) <p>Classroom Instruction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence of a commitment to improve instruction (see acceptable column) Beyond evidence of effective practices (see acceptable column), also shares successful and/or innovative practices with colleagues <p>Curricular Development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Beyond updating and integrating courses into departmental programs (see acceptable column), also is an effective partner in curricular and program design and delivery <p>Mentoring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Actively involved in some student mentoring activities <p>Departmental Needs (see acceptable column)</p>	<p>Student evaluations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rate the instructor’s teaching effectiveness well into the “outstanding” category (see section 5.260) <p>Classroom Instruction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognized by colleagues as a highly skilled and knowledgeable instructor Models excellent teaching Demonstrates attention and responsiveness to student needs <p>Curricular Development (see preferred column)</p> <p>Mentoring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant student mentoring activities (either in quantity or quality of work with students) Mentors colleagues to develop their instructional abilities (assessment, curricular design, effective delivery, etc.) <p>Departmental Needs (see acceptable column)</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cooperates with program faculty in meeting departmental loading needs 		
---	--	--

Service Performance Levels

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
<p>Departmental Service</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Active participant in dept'l work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Advising students in dept'l programs; writing letters of recommendation; assisting at preview days, registration and orientation activities; and other advising related activities Effective contributor on his/her fair share of dept'l committees Effectively carrying out his/her fair share of individual dept'l tasks Active participant in student recruitment efforts Active participant in student support/retention efforts <p>University/Professional Service</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some activity beyond department or program (e.g. serve on active University committee most years under review). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee. 	<p>Departmental Service (see acceptable column)</p> <p>University/Professional Service</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> University service on active committees (at least one committee every year under review, more if committee(s) is not very active). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee. Effective partner in accomplishing assignments <p>Leadership</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some documentable accomplishment in a leadership role at the departmental, institutional or professional level during period under review (department chair, program coordinator, faculty program director, chair active committee, lead taskforce, significant individual task, etc.) 	<p>Departmental Service (see acceptable column)</p> <p>University/Professional Service (see preferred column)</p> <p>Leadership</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognized as a faculty leader on campus Served in multiple leadership roles Significant accomplishments at the institutional level as a faculty leader (either multiple committees or taskforces, as a program director, as a department chair, or other significant leadership responsibilities resulting in multiple documentable achievements that furthered the institutional mission)

Professional faculty are expected to perform service with priority given to advising and other departmental service tasks. CS normally assigns multiple sections of the same course both within a term and across a year to assist professional faculty to balance a higher teaching load with departmental and limited university service.

Scholarship Performance Levels

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
<p>Activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A combination of at least three publications, presentations, successful grant applications, and/or software development. <p>Originality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All activities included some original content from this faculty member. <p>Meaningfulness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must include at least one publication • May include one or more presentations • May include successful external grant application(s). • May include a significant contribution to a major software development project. <p>Review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All activities passed a modest review process. • A minimum of one activity passed a peer-reviewed process. <p>Dissemination</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All activities received at least regional dissemination. 	<p>Activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A combination of at least four publications, presentations, and/or successful grant applications. • Demonstrate ongoing scholarly work by accomplishing at least one activity every three years. <p>Originality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All activities included some original content from this faculty member • At least two activities included significant original content from this faculty member. <p>Meaningfulness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must include at least two publications. • May include one or more presentations. • May include successful external grant application(s). <p>Review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All activities passed a modest review process. • A minimum of two activities passed a peer-reviewed process. <p>Dissemination</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Must include one activity disseminated nationally or two regionally. 	<p>Activities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A combination of at least five publications, presentations, and/or successful grant applications. • Demonstrate ongoing scholarly work by accomplishing at least one activity every three years. <p>Originality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All activities included some original content from this faculty member • At least three activities included significant original content from this faculty member. <p>Meaningfulness</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recognized for substantive contribution to the field Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Significant highly-regarded, peer-reviewed publication ○ Invited speaker at conference ○ Expert consultant for major external entity ○ External research/instrumentation grant award(s) • Activities must include at least three publications. • See examples listed under originality regarding quantity and/or quality of scholarly activities cited <p>Review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All activities passed a modest review process. • A minimum of three activities passed a peer-reviewed process. <p>Dissemination</p>

- | | | |
|--|--|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Must include at least two activities disseminated nationally. |
|--|--|---|

The following lists are not intended to be comprehensive, but representative to guide computer science faculty in evaluating potential venues:

- National conferences may include international conferences. Conferences in this category should draw submissions from across the US. Recent faculty have participated in the following national conferences: ACM and IEEE's national conferences; and the following regional conferences: CCSC.
- Peer-reviewed venues should be clearly identified. All other review processes are considered modest review.